

Motion

In conjunction with Georgia Power Company's VCM filing due August 31, 2017, I move that Georgia Power Company file with this Commission its intention of whether it intends to proceed with construction on one or both units at Plant Vogtle. The filing should address at least the following items:

1. Should the Commission verify and approve the expenditures made between January 1 and June 30?
2. What are the results of the cost-to-complete economic analyses?
3. What other factors, if any, should the Commission consider in deciding whether the project should continue?
4. What is the Company's recommended course of action and why?
5. What options were considered by the Company as alternatives to its recommended course of action?
6. Is it in the customers' best interest to proceed with the construction of Units 3 and 4, or just Unit 3, or to abandon work on one or both units?
7. What is the Company's new estimate to complete the project and what is the new schedule for commercial operation of the two units if the Commission decides to go forward with one or both units?
8. What is the cost to cancel if the Commission decides to cancel one or both units?
9. What is the basis for confidence in those estimates?
10. Should the Commission approve revisions to the cost and schedule?
11. How should the Toshiba Parent Guarantee be reflected in the cost-to complete analysis?
12. How did the owners respond to the Westinghouse bankruptcy, and how did they seek to protect the interests of customers now that the fixed price nature of the EPC contract has been abandoned?
13. How is the new project management structured with emphasis on the key contractors and individuals who will be responsible for completing the project?
14. How does such a decision affect the co-owners and the state as a whole?